1 **CHARLES B. GREENE** ATTORNEY AT LAW 2 84 W. SANTA CLARA STREET SUITE 770 SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95113 3 (408) 279-3518 **STATE ID # 56275** 4 Attorney for Debtor 5 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 SAN JOSE DIVISION 7 In re Case No. 09-56517 rle 8 AMY STAVIS, Chapter 13 9 PREHEARING CONFERENCE Debtor. **STATEMENT** 10 **Date: January 21, 2010** 11 Time: 2:00 p.m. Judge Roger L. Efremsky 12 DEBTOR, AMY STAVIS, as and for her Prehearing Conference Statement, states as follows: 13 The Debtor is current in the payment of her Chapter 13 plan payments pursuant to the current 14 proposed plan. 15 2. The Debtor received an objection from Mr. and Mrs. Jess Walker. Counsel for the Walkers 16 has taken a 2004 Examination, and based upon information obtained during the 2004 17 Examination, the Walker's counsel has enumerated various amounts in the objection resulting 18 in a significant amount of money which the Walkers believe should be paid to the unsecured 19 claimants. Further, the Walkers are challenging the Debtor's assertion that the Walker's 20 secured claim is preferential. 21 The Debtor is requesting that the Court set an evidentiary hearing of at least 2-3 hours to allow 22 the Court to consider the following issues: 23 What is the value of the Debtor's residence. The Walkers believe that it is at least a. 24 \$970,000.00, and the Debtor believes that in the current market, the property is worth 25 no more than \$900,000.00 and probably less than \$900,000.00. 26 The Walkers dispute the values which the Debtor has placed on the various items of b. 27

PREHEARING CONFERENCE STATEMENT 1 Case: 09-56517 Doc# 38 Filed: 01/11/10 Entered: 01/11/10 12:33:19 Page 1 of 2

28

personal property. The Court needs to have presented to it testimony and evidence by

1	the Debtor and by the Walkers to resolve what are the values of various items of
2	personal property.
3	c. The Walkers are contending that the Debtor has sufficient cash flow to pa
4	significantly more in plan payments than the Debtor now proposes, and the Cou
5	needs to consider evidence with regard to the Debtor's income and expenses as
6	attorney.
7	4. As stated herein, the Debtor believes that an evidentiary hearing would take at least 2-3 hours
8	and that determination by the Court of the individual elements of value will be controlling to
9	determine what is the aggregate dividend that should be paid by the Debtor in her Chapter 13
10	5. The Trustee has also objected, and the Debtor and her counsel are collecting financia
11	information to provide to the Trustee. Some of the information has been provided but not al
12	6. Debtor is requesting that the Court set the mater for evidentiary hearing within the next 30-6
13	days, and that the Prehearing Conference be continued to a date on or after the evidentian
14	hearing date.
15	DATED January 11, 2010
15 16	/s/ Charles B. Greene
16	/s/ Charles B. Greene CHARLES B. GREENE
16 17	/s/ Charles B. Greene CHARLES B. GREENE
16 17 18	/s/ Charles B. Greene CHARLES B. GREENE
16 17 18 19	/s/ Charles B. Greene CHARLES B. GREENE
16 17 18 19 20	/s/ Charles B. Greene CHARLES B. GREENE
16 17 18 19 20 21	/s/ Charles B. Greene CHARLES B. GREENE
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	/s/ Charles B. Greene CHARLES B. GREENE
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	/s/ Charles B. Greene CHARLES B. GREENE
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	/s/ Charles B. Greene CHARLES B. GREENE
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	/s/ Charles B. Greene CHARLES B. GREENE